



Mid-Term Review of the Hyogo Framework for Action

Letizia Rossano
Senior Coordinator for the Mid-Term Review of the
Hyogo Framework for Action



Focus

- Broad strategic review of the HFA
- Focus on the instrument as a whole
- Analytical exercise based on qualitative research
- Coincidence with the MDGs Review



Timeline Focus of the Review

- Retrospective 2005 – 2010
- Prospective 2010 – 2015 and beyond



Timeframe for Review Implementation

- Substantive work completed between **January and August 2010** to feed into the SG's ISDR Report to the GA
- Mid-Term Review publication to be issued **early 2011**
- Input for third session of Global Platform for DRR, **Mid 2011**



Methodology

- Strong focus on regional level to gather information
- Conceptual Framework with Key Questions

Key Question Sample

Question 1

In your experience has the HFA been instrumental over the past five years in reducing disaster losses in communities and countries in country X/region Y?

Rationale: *Disaster risk continues to increase due to, amongst others, inappropriate socio-economic development practices and inadequate investments in disaster risk reduction. This question aims at exploring whether there is a perception that the HFA has been instrumental in achieving its intended outcome, and to what degree factors outside the realm of the HFA, such as development policies, national priorities and multilateral assistance, amongst others, can derail, delay or prevent HFA implementation.*

Target: *This question will be explored with senior experts and politicians involved in DRR implementation through one-on-one interviews, as well as to multi-stakeholders through regional and, when feasible, national workshops.*

Sub-questions

- 1.1 What are the three most important achievements that contributed to reducing disaster losses through the HFA implementation in country X/region Y?
- 1.2 What are the three most important elements that prevented the HFA from achieving its intended outcome i.e. the reduction of disaster losses?



Qualitative methods to gather information

- Existing reports – Literature Review
- Outcomes of structured regional workshops
- In-depth studies
- One-on-one interviews with senior experts and policy makers
- On-line debates



Review of existing reports

- Literature Review ToRs defined
- Expert identified
- Report expected in mid-April



Half-Day Regional Workshops

- Scheduled in all regions between March and June 2010

One-day Workshops

- When feasible with Mid-Term Review key questions and thematic focus



In-Depth Studies

- List of 10 is being finalized
- Final list will be published in dedicated community of practice space on PreventionWeb (to be activated imminently)



One-on-One Interviews

- List of interviewees being compiled
- Suggestions, self-nominations welcome



On-line debates

- Topics identified
- Dates in May/June to be confirmed



How can a country, national platform, institution, organization contribute to the Mid-Term Review Process?

- Conduct national-level Mid-Term Review
- Fund and/or organize national and thematic workshops on Key Questions
- Support/resource and/or undertake one of the in-depth studies
- Ensure access and availability of senior experts, policy makers and other key figures who can provide insight about HFA implementation and its future for the one-on-one interviews.



Group Discussions

- Three Groups each focusing on a different set of questions (sign-up sheets outside for each group)
- Free flow, brainstorming discussion
- Facilitator will push you to dig deeper
- We need to understand why certain things do not progress as rapidly as others in HFA implementation? What are the hurdles? Focus on what hasn't worked and WHY.

Key Question Sample

Question 1

In your experience has the HFA been instrumental over the past five years in reducing disaster losses in communities and countries in country X/region Y?

Rationale: *Disaster risk continues to increase due to, amongst others, inappropriate socio-economic development practices and inadequate investments in disaster risk reduction. This question aims at exploring whether there is a perception that the HFA has been instrumental in achieving its intended outcome, and to what degree factors outside the realm of the HFA, such as development policies, national priorities and multilateral assistance, amongst others, can derail, delay or prevent HFA implementation.*

Target: *This question will be explored with senior experts and politicians involved in DRR implementation through one-on-one interviews, as well as to multi-stakeholders through regional and, when feasible, national workshops.*

Sub-questions

- 1.1 What are the three most important achievements that contributed to reducing disaster losses through the HFA implementation in country X/region Y?
- 1.2 What are the three most important elements that prevented the HFA from achieving its intended outcome i.e. the reduction of disaster losses?



Questions?